UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,521	10/13/2006	Steffen Laurisch	02316.2168USWO 1625	
23552 7590 09/04/2007 MERCHANT & GOULD PC		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903			SMITH, CHAD	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-0903	AN 55402-0903 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		PAPER NUMBER
			2874	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/553,521	LAURISCH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chad H. Smith	2874			
The MAILING D	ATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be availer SIX (6) MONTHS from the If NO period for reply is specification Failure to reply within the set	GER, FROM THE MAILING DA vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 he mailing date of this communication. ified above, the maximum statutory period w or extended period for reply will, by statute, fice later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on 13 Oc	<u>ctober 2006</u> .				
2a) This action is FII	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) ☐ Since this applic	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accord	ance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	•		•			
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) i 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/ 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>11 and</u> 8) ☐ Claim(s) a Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification 10) ☒ The drawing(s) fi	/are rejected. 12 is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or is objected to by the Examiner led on 14 October 2005 is/are:	election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	·			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. {						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment a)⊠ All b)□ Som 1.□ Certified c 2.□ Certified c 3.□ Copies of application	t is made of a claim for foreign ne * c) None of: copies of the priority documents copies of the priority documents the certified copies of the prior n from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No. <u>10/553,521</u> . ed in this National Stage			
,						
Attachment/s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited 2) Notice of Draftsperson's P 3) Information Disclosure Sta Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) itement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious wherein a connection part is arranged between the cable ducts.
- 2. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: wherein the mounting panel to the rear of the cassette mount is provided with V-shaped extensions bent downwards.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Puetz et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,424,781 B1) in view of Xin et al. (U.S. PG Pub # 2002/0181922 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/553,521

Art Unit: 2874

4. Puetz et al. teaches a glass-fiber coupler module, comprising a cassette mount (322, fig. 21), which is connected to a front panel (383, fig. 21), a first group of couplers (142) wherein the first group of couplings is arranged on a mounting panel (fig. 21 (but not labeled there)), the mounting panel being arranged on the cassette mount such that it can pivot (col. 10, lines 1 – 4).

Page 3

- 5. Necessarily the optical fibers are composed of glass as is commonly used in the telecommunications industry as glass allows a broader range of wavelengths to be transmitted.
- 6. Puetz et al. is silent to the cassette mount being assigned a coupler, by means of which the signals of at least one incoming glass fiber are distributed over at least two outgoing glass fibers, a second group of couplings being arranged on a front panel and glass fibers from the first group of couplings being passed into the coupler and the outgoing glass fibers from the coupler being connected to the second group of couplings.
- 7. Xin et al. teaches an optical splitter array and a second group of couplings (pars. 0021, and 0026, fig. 5, item 200).
- 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Puetz et al.'s coupler module with Xin et al.'s teaching of an optical splitter array (coupler) so as to use the coupler module that is mounted in a frame as an access terminal for connecting optical fibers and replacing item 382a and 382b with the plurality of optical fiber adapters (second group of couplers) of Xin et al. and attaching the optical splitter

array to the cassette mount between the two spools in fig. 21. This configuration allows for the signals traveling into the coupler module from the fibers external (to the left in fig. 21) to the coupler module to be branched to a multitude of subscriber lines represented by the first group of optical couplers in a compact configuration.

- Regarding claim 2, it is inherent that each incoming patch cable is assigned a coupling in the first group a connectors are in place on the other side of the mounting panel as shown in figures 20 and 21 (col. 10, lines 15-23).
- 10. Regarding claim 3, Puetz et al. teaches wherein all of the couplings in the first group are arranged in a row (fig. 21).
- 11. Regarding claim 4, Xin et al. teaches wherein all of the couplings in the second group are arranged in a row (fig. 2).
- 12. Regarding claims 5, 6, 7 and 8, Puetz et al. teaches wherein directional changing elements (an inner limiter) that have at least one retainer (386) for accommodating a spare working length of glass fibers are arranged beneath the mounting panel (fig. 21, col. 11, lines 16 26).
- 13. Regarding claim 9, Puetz et al. teaches wherein cable ducts are arranged on the sides of the mounting panel (fig. 21 and fig. 34, col. 11, lines 16 26).

14. Regarding claim 10, Puetz et al. teaches wherein the width of the mounting panel with the cable ducts is less than or equal to the width of the cassette mount (figs 17 and 33).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chad H. Smith whose telephone number is (571) 270-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30a.m. - 5:00p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on 571-270-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chad H. Smith/ CHS

> /Sung Pak/ Sung Pak Primary Examiner AU 2874